## A novel nonanuclear Cu<sup>II</sup> carboxylate-bridged cluster aggregate with an S = 7/2 ground spin state

Muralee Murugesu,<sup>a</sup> Philippa King,<sup>a</sup> Rodolphe Clérac,<sup>b</sup> Christopher E. Anson<sup>a</sup> and Annie K. Powell<sup>\*a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe, Engessserstr. Geb. 30.45, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: powell@chemie.uni-karlsruhe.de

<sup>b</sup> Centre de Recherche Paul Pascal, CNRS UPR-8641, 115 Avenue Dr. A. Schweitzer, 33600 Pessac, France

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 11th December 2003, Accepted 26th January 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd February 2004

The Cu<sup>II</sup> aggregate in  $[Cu_9(cpida)_6(MeOH)_6]$ ·6(MeOH) {H<sub>3</sub>cpida = 2-(carboxyphenyl)iminodiacetic acid} is made up of two weakly ferromagnetically coupled carboxylate-bridged Cu<sub>4</sub> units that are antiferromagnetically linked through a central Cu<sup>II</sup> to give a Cu<sub>9</sub> core with an S = 7/2 spin ground state.

The self-assembly approach to large cluster aggregates has led to the synthesis of high nuclearity species containing up to 36 paramagnetic transition metal centres, including Fe<sub>19</sub>,<sup>1</sup> Fe<sub>17</sub>,<sup>2</sup> Co<sub>24</sub>,<sup>3</sup> Ni<sub>24</sub>,<sup>4</sup> Mn<sub>30</sub><sup>5</sup> and Cu<sub>36</sub>,<sup>6</sup> with the aim of producing systems with non-zero ground spin states. The latter triakontahexanuclear cluster is in fact the largest of several Cu<sup>II</sup> clusters reported in the literature.<sup>7–11</sup> We have previously reported a series of Cu<sub>12</sub> aggregates with the ligand 2-(carboxyphenyl)iminodiacetic acid (H<sub>3</sub>cpida) that were synthesised under ambient conditions in the presence of base using methanol as a protic solvent.<sup>12</sup>



Our general approach aims to involve the protic solvent in an intermediate metastable cluster aggregate,  $\{M_x L_n(OR)_p(ROH)_q\}^{n\pm}$ , that we can trap through crystallisation before the solvolysis reaction goes to completion.<sup>2</sup>

In the case of  $Cu_{12}$ , the methanol solvent molecules become deprotonated and perform an important bridging function between the  $Cu^{II}$  centres. By reducing the solvolysis gradient (*i.e.* using less base) we have found that the cluster aggregate [ $Cu_9(cpida)_6$ -(MeOH)<sub>6</sub>] (1) can be isolated.<sup>†</sup> In contrast to the  $Cu_{12}$  aggregates, deprotonated solvent is not present in 1 as a bridging ligand. The fairly low yield of crystals reported here reflects this trapping and crystallisation strategy.

In the  $Cu_9$  cluster 1,<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> several carboxylate bridging modes are important in linking the metal centres and mediating a variety of magnetic interactions. The centrosymmetric aggregate can be regarded as two irregular tetrahedral [Cu4(cpida)3(MeOH)3]- units linked *via* a central  $Cu^{\Pi}$  ion, Cu(1), at its inversion centre (Fig. 1). The two basal planes of the tetrahedra are formed by Cu(2), Cu(3) and Cu(4), and Cu(2'), Cu(3') and Cu(4'), where Cu(3) and Cu(3')are six-coordinate, with the remaining basal Cu(II) ions adopting a five-coordinate distorted square-based pyramidal geometry. A tetradentately chelating cpida<sup>3-</sup> ligand coordinates to each of the six basal Cu<sup>II</sup> ions, with the fifth coordination site being occupied by a carboxylate oxygen atom from a neighbouring cpida<sup>3–</sup> ligand. The latter results in a cyclic link between the three basal CuII ions in each tetrahedron via syn (equatorial)-anti (axial) carboxylate bridges (Fig. 2). The distorted octahedral geometry about Cu(3) and Cu(3A) is completed by a methanol molecule.

DOI: 10.1039/b316233h

The apices of the two tetrahedral  $[Cu_4(cpida)_3(MeOH)_3]^-$  units are occupied by the square-based pyramidal Cu<sup>II</sup> ions, Cu(5) and Cu(5'). These apical ions are linked to the basal Cu<sup>II</sup> centres *via* three *syn* (eq.)–*anti* (ax.) carboxylate bridges from the cpida<sup>3–</sup> ligands, with two methanol molecules completing the coordination sphere. Further carboxylate oxygens from the cpida<sup>3–</sup> ligands connect the three basal  $Cu^{II}$  centres in each tetrahedron through three monoatomic, two *syn* (eq.)–*anti* (ax.) and one *syn* (eq.)–*anti* (ax.) carboxylate bridges to the central linking Cu(1) ion, giving an overall [4 + 2] distorted octahedral environment about Cu(1) (Fig. 2).

Magnetic measurements (Fig. 3) show that the  $\chi T$  product is constant between 300 and 150 K at 3.9 emu K mol<sup>-1</sup>. At lower temperatures,  $\chi T$  decreases to reach a minimum of 3.3 emu K mol<sup>-1</sup> at 22 K and finally increases to 4.8 emu K mol<sup>-1</sup> at 1.8 K. Fitting the data with a Curie–Weiss law above 60 K leads to C =3.97 emu K mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is in good agreement with that expected for nine independent Cu<sup>II</sup> of S = 1/2 with a g factor of 2.17. An EPR measurement on a powder sample of **1** at 300 K is consistent with this value, exhibiting a Lorentzian resonance line ( $\Delta H = 200$ Oe) at g = 2.15. The Weiss constant,  $\theta$ , is -5.8 K, indicating a dominant antiferromagnetic interaction.

The  $Cu_9$  clusters in **1** are relatively well separated and can be considered as isolated. The  $Cu_9$  aggregate is held together by a number of different types of carboxylate bridge. Therefore, in order to simplify the magnetic model, we have considered only those modes which are known to mediate the strongest magnetic



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 1; all hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.



pathways.12-14 These involve equatorial positions on both the CuII metal ions involved and, as shown in Scheme 1 and Fig. 2, there are two different types of magnetic interaction: (i)  $J_1$  mediated by a syn (eq.)-anti (ax.) carboxylate bridge, expected to be antiferromagnetic,<sup>13</sup> and (ii)  $J_2$  mediated by a syn (eq.)-anti (ax.) carboxylate bridge, expected to be ferromagnetic.<sup>12,14</sup> Due to the complicated topology of the Cu<sub>9</sub> cluster, an analytical model is difficult to obtain, hence the numerical approach using irreducible tensor methods in the program MAGPACK<sup>15</sup> was used. This can be regarded as an alternative approach to using variable field  $\chi T vs. T$ measurements to establish a unique set of parameters.<sup>16</sup> Excellent fits of both  $\chi T vs. T$  and the magnetisation at 1.85, 5 and 10 K were achieved with  $J_1/k_{\rm B} = -15(1)$  K,  $J_2/k_{\rm B} = +0.4(1)$  K and g = 2.17(Fig. 3). No fit could be obtained when  $J_2$  was set to zero. The sign and values of the magnetic interactions are in good agreement with the literature<sup>12-14</sup> and imply that the Cu<sub>9</sub> cluster in 1 possesses an S = 7/2 spin ground state.



Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of the  $\chi T$  product (per nine Cu<sup>II</sup>) for 1 at 1000 Oe. Inset: field dependence of the magnetisation (per nine Cu<sup>II</sup> centres) for 1. Solid lines are the best fits obtained with the model described in the text.



Scheme 1

The resultant spin topology is indicated in Scheme 1. A combination of the antiferromagnetic coupling to the central  $Cu^{II}$  and weaker ferromagnetic coupling within the tetrahedral units results in a magnetic spin ground state in which eight of the nine spins are aligned parallel, an unusually high proportion for an aggregate in which the antiferromagnetic coupling is dominant. This offers an attractive strategy for the construction of aggregates with high spin ground states, which is an important goal in the area of molecular magnetism.

R. C. thanks the Conseil Regional d'Aquitaine, the CNRS and the University Bordeaux 1, and A. K. P. thanks the DFG, for financial support.

## Notes and references

 $\dagger$  Synthesis of 1: a solution of H<sub>3</sub>cpida (0.063 g, 0.25 mmol) and KOH (0.042 g, 0.75 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml) was added to a solution of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (0.121 g, 0.5 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml). After 3 to 4 days, X-ray quality dark green needle-shaped crystals of **1** were formed (10% yield). Elemental analysis: found C, 38.16; H, 3.60; N, 3.56; calc. C, 38.12; H, 3.94; N, 3.42%.

‡ *Crystal data* for 1: C<sub>78</sub>H<sub>96</sub>Cu<sub>9</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>48</sub>, *M* = 2457.47, monoclinic, *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*c*, *a* = 12.6762(9), *b* = 17.3686(11), *c* = 21.3680(18) Å, β = 95.453(9)°, *V* = 4683.3(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, *T* = 200(2) K, *Z* = 2, *F*(000) = 2502, μ = 2.103 mm<sup>-1</sup>; 29723 data, 8575 unique (*R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0667), *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.1293, *S* = 0.936 (all data), *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0521 [5481 with *I* ≥  $2\sigma(I)$ ]. CCDC 226039. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b316233h/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 J. C. Goodwin, R. Sessoli, D. Gateschi, W. Wernsdorfer, A. K. Powell and S. L. Heath, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 1835.
- 2 W. Schmitt, M. Murugesu, J. C. Goodwin, J. P. Hill, A. Mandel, R. Bhalla, C. E. Anson, S. L. Heath and A. K. Powell, *Polyhedron*, 2001, 20, 1687.
- 3 E. K. Brechin, S. G. Harris, A. Harrison, S. Parsons, A. G. Whittaker and R. E. P. Winpenny, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 653.
- 4 R. E. P. Winpenny, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1 and references therein.
- 5 M. Soler, E. Rumberger, K. Folting, D. N. Hendrickson and G. Christou, *Polyhedron*, 2001, **20**, 1365.
- 6 T. S. M. Abedin, L. K. Thompson, D. O. Miller and E. Krupicka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 708.
- 7 G. S. Papaefstathiou, C. P. Raptopoulou, A. Tsohos, A. Terzis, E. G. Bakalbassis and S. P. Perlepes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4658.
- 8 S. Hu, W. Du, J. Dai, L. Wu, C. Cui, Z. Fu and X. Wu, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 2963.
- 9 Y. J. Zhang, B. Q. Ma, S. Gao, J. R. Li, Q. D. Liu, G. H. Wen and X. X. Zhang, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 2249.
- 10 S. Triki, F. Thetiot, J. Sala Pala, S. Golhen, J. M. Clemente-Juan, C. J. Gomez-Garcia and E. Coronado, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2172.
- 11 E. Ruiz, J. Cano, S. Alvarez, A. Caneschi and D. Gatteschi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 6791.
- 12 M. Murugesu, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 1054.
- 13 G. Psomas, C. P. Raptopoulou, L. Iordanidis, C. Dendrinou-Samara, V. Tangoulis and D. P. Kessissoglou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 3042.
- 14 E. Colacio, M. Ghazi, R. Kivekäs and J. M. Moreno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 2882.
- 15 J. J. Borrás-Almenar, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado and B. S. Tsukerblat, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 985.
- 16 P. E. Kruger, G. D. Fallon, B. Moubaraki, K. J. Berry and K. S. Murray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 4808.